

**AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CLINICAL PHARMACY
FELLOW TALLY SHEET AND APPLICANT GUIDE TO SCORING**

NOMINEE: _____ DATE: _____

REVIEWED BY: _____

SUSTAINED CONTRIBUTION TO ACCP:

1. Min 10 years (Not scored) 4. ____ (Committees)
 2. Min 5 years (Not scored) 5. ____ (Reviewer)
 3. ____ (Presentations) 6. ____ (Officer)

TOTAL: ____ (Minimum of 10 points needed for further consideration.)

CLINICAL PHARMACY PRACTICE (P) AND RESEARCH (R):

Element	Max Points	Practice	Research
1. Clinical Pharmacy Services	15		XXXXXXXXXX
2. Patient Education Programs	10		XXXXXXXXXX
3. Clinical Competence	10		XXXXXXXXXX
4. Initiating/Monitoring Drug Therapy	15		XXXXXXXXXX
5. Presentations – Pharmacotherapy	20		
6. Presentations - Research	20	XXXXXXXXXX	
7. Consultant Services	10		
8. Research Projects	20	XXXXXXXXXX	
9. Publications	20		
10. Research Publications	35	XXXXXXXXXX	
11. Service to Publications	10		
12. Awards	20		
13. Leadership	15		XXXXXXXXXX
TOTAL		Practice = 145 Research = 155	

COMBINED TOTAL (PRACTICE + RESEARCH): _____

	<u>Practice</u>	<u>Research</u>	<u>Combined</u>
TOTAL NEEDED FOR FELLOWSHIP:	100	135	200
TOTAL POSSIBLE:	145	155	300

Applicants with a Practice score of at least 100, a Research Score of at least 135, or a combined (practice + research) score of at least 200 should be recommended for Fellow.

RECOMMENDATION: ____ FELLOW ____ DO NOT RECOMMEND FELLOWSHIP

**AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CLINICAL PHARMACY
GUIDELINES FOR SCORING FELLOWSHIP APPLICATIONS**

NOMINEE: _____

SUSTAINED CONTRIBUTION TO ACCP:

<u>Question Number</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Year of highest professional pharmacy degree (B.S. or Pharm.D.). _____	Not scored.
2. Years of ACCP full membership. _____	Not scored.
3. (SC) Award 2.0 points for each presentation at ACCP meeting.	3. _____
4. (SC) Award 3.0 points for committee chair Program Committee Award 2.0 points for committee chair of other ACCP committee or task force Award 2.0 points for committee member: Program Committee Award 1.0 points for member of other ACCP/RI committee or task force Award 1.0 points for service as regional recruiter or COP Liaison Award 0.5 points for chair of PRN or Chapter committee Award 0.25 points for service as member of PRN committee or Chapter committee	4. _____
5. (SC) Award 3.0 points if editor or primary <u>author</u> in any ACCP publication; award 1.5 points if a secondary author (2 nd , 3 rd , etc.). Award 0.75 points as item writer for <i>Clinical Pharmacy Challenge</i> , <i>ACCP Flip Cards</i> , or as an ACCP Academy Mentor. Award 0.5 points for each time served as a <u>reviewer</u> for any of the following (<u>max 4 points</u>): ACCP meeting abstracts, RI proposals (FIT, Rsch or Fellowship proposals), <i>Pharmacotherapy</i> , <i>PSAP</i> , <i>Updates in Therap</i> , any other ACCP publication, student/resident/fellow travel awards, CV review service, or national student competition items. Award 0.5 points for serving as a member of an on-site AM/SF Best Poster/Paper Committee.	5. _____
6. (SC) Award 5.0 points for President Award 3.0 points for Secretary, Treasurer, or Regent Award 1.0 point for member of Research Institute BOT or <i>Pharmacotherapy</i> BOD Award 1.0 points for Chapter President (not President-Elect) Award 1.0 point for each year as PRN Chair (not Chair-Elect) Award 0.5 points for PRN or Chapter Secretary/Treasurer	6. _____

SUSTAINED CONTRIBUTION TOTAL _____

PLEASE NOTE: The fellow applicant must have 1) been a Full Member in the College for ≥ 5 years; 2) have been in clinical pharmacy practice for a minimum of ten (10) years since receipt of his/her highest professional pharmacy degree (B.S. or Pharm.D.); and 3) achieve a minimum score of 10 points in the area of sustained contribution to ACCP to warrant further evaluation.

CLINICAL PHARMACY PRACTICE AND RESEARCH:

Question Number

1. (P) Clinical Pharmacy Service Programs: 1. _____ (Maximum Award = 15 points)
 - 10 - First nationally to establish the role for clinical pharmacy.
 - 10 - How unique and innovative is (was) the service program (subjective impression of evaluator).
 - 5 - Has the role been subsequently transferred to other sites (shows acceptance by profession).
 - 10 - Has impact of the service program been documented/evaluated in terms of acceptance by other health professionals, cost-savings, impact on patient health care. Documentation must be published to receive full 10 points.
 - 5 - Has received an award or professional recognition for his/her clinical service activities.
 - 5 - Has the applicant himself/herself maintained a sustained practice in the service area?

2. (P) Patient Education Service Programs: 2. _____ (Maximum Award = 10 points)
 - 10 - First nationally to establish the role for clinical pharmacy.
 - 10 - How unique and innovative is (was) the service program (subjective impression of evaluator).
 - 5 - Has the role been subsequently transferred to other sites (shows acceptance by profession).
 - 10 - Has impact of the service program been documented/evaluated in terms of acceptance by other health professionals, cost-savings, impact on patient health care. Documentation must be published to receive full 10 points.

3. (P) Documentation of Clinical Competence: 3. _____ (Maximum Award = 10 points)
 - 5 Board certification in Pharmacotherapy or one of the other BPS-recognized specialties. Can add an additional 4 points if Board certified in more than one BPS specialty, or 2 additional points if has obtained Added Qualifications.
 - 0-5 3 points for CDE or other national certification, 1 point for disease-specific certification, BLS, or ACLS
 - 0-5 Credentialing/privileging within the applicant's institution/organization (2 points for each institution/organization)

4. (P) Initiation and Monitoring of Drug Therapy: 4. _____ (Maximum Award = 15 points)
 - 10-15 - functions as independent prescriber for medication-related outcomes as authorized by CDTM agreement and/or privileges granted by the organization/practice/health system (i.e., does not follow standard protocols).
 - 5-10 - follows protocols which he/she has prepared and then approved by institution.
 - 0-5 - follows protocols which someone else has prepared (i.e., functions as a physician extender).
 - 0 - if purely consultative role is described.

5. (P/R) Pharmacotherapy Presentations: 5. _____ (Maximum Award = 20 points)

For each presentation award:

2.0 - national or international

1.0 – state or regional

For each webinar not of promotional or marketing nature award:

2.0 – continuing education provided (e.g., CPE, CME) to natl or intl audience

1.0 – continuing education provided (e.g., CPE, CME) to state or regional audience

0.5 – no continuing education provided, not of a promotional or marketing nature.

6. (R) Original Research Presentations: 6. _____ (Maximum Award = 20 points)

For each presentation given by the applicant, award:

2.0 – national or international

1.0 – state or regional

For each webinar award:

2.0 – sponsored by a national or international organization

1.0 – sponsored by a state or regional organization

Do not award any points if applicant was a coauthor on a presentation given by someone else

7. (P/R) Service as Consultant: 7. _____ (Maximum Award = 10 points)

10 - international (e.g., WHO)

10 - national governmental (e.g., FDA, USP, HHS, NIH, NCEP, JNC, NDEP)

5 - national non-governmental (e.g., pharmaceutical industry, association research institute).
Maximum of 5 points for all pharmaceutical industry consulting (i.e., advisory boards)

5 - state (e.g., formulary, board of pharmacy, state Medicaid). Maximum of 5 points for this category.

2 - local (e.g., PSRO, public health, intramural grant programs)

8. (R) Research Projects: 8. _____ (Maximum Award = 20 points)

For each funded project award:

	Principal Investigator	Co-Investigator	Project Designer (industry-based)
Protocol developed by funding source.	0.5	0.25	1.0
Intramural or Industry sponsored	1.0	0.5	na
Foundation	2.0	1.0	na
Government	3.0	1.5	na

9. (P/R) Publications: 9. _____ (Maximum Award = 20 points)

For each publication award:

- 3.0 - book, primary/sole/senior author
- 2.5 - book, secondary author
- 2.5 - book editor
- 2.0 - book chapter, primary/sole/senior author
- 2.0 - review article, primary/sole/senior author, refereed
- 1.5 - book chapter, secondary author
- 1.5 - IND or NDA
- 1.5 - review article, secondary author, refereed
- 1.0 - case report, refereed
- 1.0 - editorial
- 0.5 - book review
- 0.5 - letter to the editor, Internet-only pub, other

10. (R) Original Research Publications: 10. _____ (Maximum Award = 35 points)

For each publication of original research award:

- 2.0 - primary/sole/senior author, refereed
- 1.5 - secondary author, refereed

Do not award any points for published abstracts or research publications in non-refereed journals.

11. (P/R) Service to Publications: 11. _____ (Maximum Award = 10 points)

For each example of service award:

- 10 - editor
- 5 - editorial board
- 2 - referee

12. (P/R) Awards and Citations: 12. _____ (Maximum Award = 20 points)

2-10 Awards from organizations for practice or research accomplishments. National/state organizations usually merit more points than local organizations. Points AWARDED based on the significance of the award (see description of significance in application).

0.5 - for each citation of publications by other authors, from Science Citation Index (**note: ACCP staff score this item; applicants should not attempt to provide this information**).

13. (P) Leadership: 13. _____ (Maximum Award = 15 points)

For each example of leadership service award:

2 - 5 Non-ACCP National elected office. Award points based on office

0.5 - 2 Non-ACCP State elected office. Award points based on office

1.0 Non-ACCP National committee chair

0.5 - 2.0 State political advocacy efforts/initiatives (high end of range for leadership role)

0.5 - 3.0 National political advocacy efforts/initiatives (high end of range for leadership role)

0.5 Member of ACCP Advocates

14. Not scored. However, this information should be used to assure that the application process has fairly described the nominee's qualifications for Fellowship. Based on this information, you may recommend an applicant for recognition as a Fellow even though his/her point score does not achieve the suggested minimum.